

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 165934
ORIGIN OES-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 SIG-03 SS-15 SP-02 INR-10
L-03 PM-05 NSCE-00 DODE-00 CIAE-00 ACDA-12 DOE-15
SOE-02 SSO-00 INRE-00 /087 R

DRAFTED BY OES/NET/NEP:RDEMING:SK
APPROVED BY T/D - DR. NYE
PM/NPP - MR. STEINER
L/N - MR. SLOAN
ACDA - MR. ROCHLIN
DOE - MR. BENGELSDORF
EUR/RPE - MR. SALISBURY

-----096052 292321Z /61

O R 292321Z JUN 78
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS IMMEDIATE
INFO AMEMBASSY PARIS
AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBASSY ROME
AMEMBASSY DUBLIN
AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG
AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 165934

USEEC

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: ENRG, TECH, MNUC

SUBJECT: US EURATOM NOTE

REF: BRUSSELS 12664
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 165934

1. TEXT TRANSMITTED REFTEL IS ACCEPTABLE WITH FOLLOWING
CHANGE: IN SECOND SENTENCE OF OPENING PARAGRAPH INSERT
"SUCH" BETWEEN ,OPEN" AND "DISCUSSION". SENTENCE WOULD
THEN READ: "T E EC IS READY TO OPEN SUCH A DISCUSSION...".
REASON: WE ARE PREPARED TO INTERPRET EC READINESS TO
"OPEN A DISCUSSION ON THE US/EURATOM COOPERATION AGREE-

MENTS" AS CONSTITUTING AGREEMENT TO NEGOTIATIONS. WE ARE CONCERNED, HOWEVER, THAT JUXTAPOSITION OF US REQUEST THAT EURATOM "ENTER INTO NEGOTIATIONS" IN THE FIRST SENTENCE WITH EC AGREEMENT TO "OPEN DISCUSSIONS" IN SECOND SENTENCE COULD BE INTERPRETED AS EC FAILURE TO AGREE TO "NEGOTIATIONS". IN OUR VIEW THE SIMPLEST WAY TO SOLVE THIS POTENTIAL PROBLEM IS TO INSERT "SUCH" BEFORE "A DISCUSSION".

2. IF THIS CHANGE IS UNACCEPTABLE TO EC, MISSION MAY, AS A LESS DESIRABLE FALLBACK, AGREE TO ENDING FIRST SENTENCE AFTER "DECEMBER 7, 1977", THEREBY DROPPING REFERENCE TO NEGOTIATIONS AND AVOIDING JUXTAPOSITION PROBLEM. WE STRONGLY PREFER FIRST CHANGE, HOWEVER, SINCE THIS CLEARLY

WOULD LINK DISCUSSION WITH NEGOTIATION. VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: NUCLEAR AGREEMENTS, DIPLOMATIC NOTES, NEGOTIATIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 29 jun 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE165934
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: RDEMING:SK
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780269-1029
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t1978066/aaaaaexu.tel
Line Count: 77
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: be5d3289-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN OES
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 78 BRUSSELS 12664
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 18 may 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2353254
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: US EURATOM NOTE
TAGS: ENRG, TECH, MNUC, US, EEC, EURATOM
To: BRUSSELS USEEC
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/be5d3289-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014